

WORKSHEET (for individual committee members)

APPLICANT: Elena Helman DATE: 2-25-09

A. Academic ability:

* In previous academic work how good was the performance? 4

* Performance on standardized tests? (GREs or other) 5

Subscore (0-5) 4

B. Formal Preparation:

• In basic engineering/physical science discipline
(probability of passing quals)? 4

• In biological/life sciences? 4

Subscore (0-5) 4

C. Research

• Evidence of real interest in research? 5

• Quality of previous research? 5

• Evidence of productivity (papers, theses, presentations)? 5

• Ability to communicate work? 4

• Strength of letters? 5

Subscore 5

D. Career Direction/ appropriateness/ motivation:

• Level of understanding of MEMP (or other program)? 5

• Commitment to medical/clinical component? 5

• Is the candidate realistic about goals? 4

• Is candidate's personality compatible with clinical role? 4

Subscore 4.5

E. Intangibles:

• Leadership potential? 4

• Personality? 4

• Self-directedness? 4

• Creativity? 4

Subscore: 4

OVERALL SCORE **4**
(not at all a clear accept, potentially wait list or maybe even reject; some indication that she'll shy away from quantitative studies: *in interview she commented that she would pursue quantitative coursework as necessary for the program requirements, but that she is much more interested in using [pre-existing] tools to apply them to cancer genomics*; strikingly less impressive in person as compared to letters of recommendation)