DARKLIGHT 1c

Search for New Physics in e+e- Final States Near an Invariant Mass of 17 MeV

Jan C. Bernauer

November 2020



Stony Brook University

The Standard Model is just a sliver



Search for BSM physics

Phase space large for simple, infinite for complex models

The Standard Model is just a sliver



Search for BSM physics

- Phase space large for simple, infinite for complex models
- ► Two approaches: Cover large area or look at anomalies Beryllium/Helium anomaly, g_µ – 2, proton charge radius





Atomki's new high-resolution LaBr₃ spectrometer, which will record gi excited nuclei. Credit: Atomki

The plot thickens for a hypoth "X17" particle

Additional evidence of an unknown particle from a Hungarian lab gives to NA64 searches

27 NOVEMBER, 2019 | By Ana Lopes



The NA64 experiment at CERN (Image: CERN)



The NA64 experiment at CERN (Image: CERN)



Partícula X17: qué es la quinta fuerza que dicen haber descubierto científicos húngaros

Redacción BBC News Mundo

③ 25 noviembre 2019

🛉 💿 😏 🔽 < Compartir



Principales noticias

"A las 8:14 era un día soleado, a las 8:15 era un infierno": los segundos apocalípticos en los que miles murieron tras la explosión de las bombas atómicas de Hiroshima y Nagasaki

En este recorrido interactivo verás cómo ocurrieron y qué consecuencias tuvieron los dos únicos ataques con bombas nucleares de la historia. No te lo pierdas.

③ 5 agosto 2020

Qué se sabe de la devastadora explosión en Beirut que dejó al menos 137 muertos y miles de heridos

③ 5 agosto 2020







A team of researchers say they've discovered a new force that exists outside the textbook four fundamental forces of nature. (Credit: Pexabay/Insspirito)

8



Partícula X17: qué es la dicen haber descubier húngaros

Redacción BBC News Mundo

③ 25 noviembre 2019



A 'no-brainer Nobel Prize': Hungarian scientists may have found a fifth force of nature



By Ryan Prior, CNN Updated 2:44 PM ET, Sat November 23, 2019



More from CNN



5 things to know for August



⁸Be is special

Many images from arXiv:1707.09749 ⁸Be is special: two narrow, highly energetic states which can decay to ground state via E/M



Decay modes of ${}^{8}Be(18.15)$



Hadronic, electromagnetic and through internal pair conversion

The Atomki experiment



1.04 MeV proton beam on ⁷Li to ⁸Be(18.15) + γ . Followed by decay. Looked at e^{\pm} pairs from internal conversion.

The Beryllium anomaly



(from: arXiv:1707.09749v1, modified from PRL 116 042501 (2016))

Feng et al. (PRL 117, 071803 (2016)): Proto-phobic force to evade current limits

New results on ${}^{3} extsf{H}(extsf{p},\gamma)^{4} extsf{H}e$ arXiv:1910.10459 [nucl-ex]



- Updated experimental setup: reduced background
- Bump appears at different angle, but same mass: ⁴He: 17.01 ± 0.16 MeV ⁸Be: 16.84 ± 0.16 MeV

Why believe it?

- This model has $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ of 1.07, significance of 6.8σ
- Bump, not last bin effect
- Remeasured with new detector: A J Krasznahorkay et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.1056 012028
- Compatible masses in ⁸Be and ⁴He, and compatible couplings (Feng et al. arXiv:2006.01151)
- Non-linearities in Isotope shifts (King-plots), observed (I. Counts et al., arXiv:2004.11383)

Hard to distinguish from higher order SM effects.

Why not believe it?

DM boson interpretation is proto-phobic to evade NA48/2 limits

• Actually: $\frac{\epsilon_{\rho}}{\epsilon_{\alpha}}$ coupling below $\pm 8\%$. Z^0 is $\sim 7\%$

Why not believe it?

DM boson interpretation is proto-phobic to evade NA48/2 limits

• Actually: $\frac{\epsilon_p}{\epsilon_n}$ coupling below $\pm 8\%$. Z^0 is ~ 7%

Recently, alternative processes were proposed

- ► arXiv:2003.05722v3 Hard $\gamma + \gamma$ process
- arXiv:2005.10643 Anomalous Internal Pair creation

How can we measure it at an electron accelerator?

- This particle can be produced via Bremsstrahlung, predominantly ISR off the electron.
- Measure

 $e^{-}Ta \rightarrow e^{-}Ta X$ followed by $X \rightarrow (e^{-}e^{+})$

Irreducible background:

 $e^ Ta
ightarrow e^ Ta \gamma^{\star}
ightarrow e^ Ta e^+ e^-$

How can we measure it at an electron accelerator?

- This particle can be produced via Bremsstrahlung, predominantly ISR off the electron.
- Measure

 $e^{-}Ta \rightarrow e^{-}Ta X$ followed by $X \rightarrow (e^{-}e^{+})$

Irreducible background:

$$e^-$$
 Ta $ightarrow$ e^- Ta γ^{\star} $ightarrow$ e^- Ta e^+ e^-

two spectrometers, measure e⁺ and e⁻ in coincidence How can we measure it at an electron accelerator?

- This particle can be produced via Bremsstrahlung, predominantly ISR off the electron.
- Measure

 $e^{-}Ta \rightarrow e^{-}Ta X$ followed by $X \rightarrow (e^{-}e^{+})$

Irreducible background:

$$e^-$$
 Ta $ightarrow$ e^- Ta γ^{\star} $ightarrow$ e^- Ta e^+ e^-

- two spectrometers, measure e⁺ and e⁻ in coincidence
- Best kinematics:
 - highest production rate if X takes all electron energy. Rise in CS beats all.
 - with limited and same out-of-plane acceptance, symmetric angle optimal.

Background

Main background is NOT the irreducible one. Random coincidences between

- radiative elastic electrons
- positrons from (virtual) photon pair-production where e⁻ is missed

Can optimize by moving electron arm backward.

Possible setup

► 45 MeV beam, 150 μA on 10 μm tantalum foil \rightarrow about 52 inv. nb/s

Two spectrometers

- ▶ $\pm 2^{\circ}$ in-plane, $\pm 5^{\circ}$ out-of-plane
- Positron spectrometer at 16°, 28 MeV
- Electron spectrometer at 33.5°, 15 MeV

Counting rates: X signal



Background rates

QED irreducible: 55 Hz coincidences,

Background rates

QED irreducible: 55 Hz coincidences, ... but 120 kHz e^+ singles

Background rates

QED irreducible: 55 Hz coincidences, ... but 120 kHz e^+ singles Initial state radiation e^-p : 6 MHz \rightarrow Random coincidence rate 550 Hz (at 1.3 GHz bunch rate) This is the minimum trigger rate and sets the sensitivity.

Counting rates: Backgrounds



Dominated by accidental background

Random coincidences dominate

Scaling with instantaneous luminosity:

- Signal $S \sim \mathcal{L}$
- ▶ QED background $Q \sim \mathcal{L}$
- Accidental background A ~ L²
- Sensitivity $\frac{s}{\sqrt{Q+A}} \propto 1$ for $A \gg Q$

Dominated by accidental background

Random coincidences dominate

Scaling with instantaneous luminosity:

- Signal $S \sim \mathcal{L}$
- ▶ QED background $Q \sim \mathcal{L}$
- Accidental background $A \sim \mathcal{L}^2$
- Sensitivity $\frac{S}{\sqrt{Q+A}} \propto 1$ for $A \gg Q$
- Sensitivity almost independent of luminosity. Scale is set by bunch-clock / time resolution
- Out-of-time "coincidences" give accurate measure of acceptance including efficiency.

Reach at 45 MeV



Run at smaller energies?



DL at 34 MeV?

MadGraph fails at these energies!

DL at 34 MeV?

MadGraph fails at these energies!

- New generator (from Mainz: Beranek et al. 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.015032)
 - Some tension with MadGraph. Have to understand this!
- Positron spectrometer at 21.75°, 19.25 MeV
- Electron spectrometer at 47°, 11.75 MeV
- Did not check resolutions assumed the same.
- Random background 35 times irreducible background!

Reach at 34 MeV



Should we run at 34 MeV?

Achieving full coverage probably difficult.

Should we run at 34 MeV?

- Achieving full coverage probably difficult.
- Ideal tool to commission spectrometers.
- Crucial to identify, combat backgrounds
- Measure to refine model:
 - QED irreducible backgound
 - Single rates

Spectrometers



Experience: Møller at MIT HVRL



Møller experiment ran successfully



Example result



Epstein et al, Phys. Rev. D 102, 012006 (2020)

Tracking detectors

Stack of three tGEMs, 25x40 cm, modified CERN design

Readout via APVs and MPD4 (Same as SBS and PREX)

Hampton group has built eight.



Trigger detectors

- Scintillator Hodoscope, 10 segments/spectrometer
- Needs timing resolution of < 500 ps</p>
- MUSE beam hodoscope: 2 mm thick scintillator, SiPM readout: < 100 ps</p>
 - Tested up to 8mm wide, 15 cm long.



(T. Rostomyan et al., NIMA 986 164801)

3D rendering



Possible locations





Possible locations



 Minimal modification
 Could use exisiting beam dump

Possible locations



Minimal modificationCould use exisiting beam

 Cleaner environment: Beam dump far away

dump

 Might be able to recover beam energy

Conclusion

- Atomki anomaly needs clarification, best from independent approach
- ARIEL well suited for direct search for X17
- Can produce useful data at 34 MeV, experiment best at 45-60 MeV
- Controlling backgrounds crucial!

The DARKLIGHT Collaboration

R. Alarcon, R. Dipert, G. Randall Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

A. Christopher, B. Dongwi, I. P. Fernando, T. Gautam, M. Kohl, J. Nazeer, T. Patel, M. Rathnayake, M. Suresh Hampton University, Hampton, VA

> P. Gueye Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

J. Grames, R. Kazimi, D. Machie, M. Poelker, S. Benson, J. Coleman, S. Frierson, C. Hernandez-Garcia, M. McCaughan, C. Tennant, S. Zhang Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA

J. Bessuille, P. Fisher, I. Friščić, D. Hasell, E. Ihloff, R. Johnston, J. Kelsey, S. Lee, P. Moran, R. Milner, C. Vidal, Y. Wang Laboratory for Nuclear Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA

J. C. Bernauer, E. Cline, R. Corliss, K. Dehmelt, A. Deshpande Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY

> N. Kalantarians Virginia Union University, Richmond, VA

Co-Spokespeople: Jan C. Bernauer (SBU/RBRC), Ross Corliss (SBU), Peter Fisher (MIT), and Richard Milner (MIT)

Spectrometer design parameters

Kinematic var.	Acc.	Inv. mass res.	est. res. on focal plane	Error
in-plane angle	±2° /	22 <u>keV</u> mrad	5mm/7cm→1.4 mrad	32 keV
out-of-plane angle	$\pm 5^{\circ}$	5 <u>keV</u> mrad	1.5°	133 keV
momentum	±20%	85 <u>keV</u>	5 mm/30cm \rightarrow $<$ 0.2%	17 keV

 Spectrometer can measure two quantities on first plane (position), but has additional multiple scattering for third quantity (angle)

Spectrometer design parameters

Kinematic var.	Acc.	Inv. mass res.	est. res. on focal plane	Error
in-plane angle	±2° /	22 <u>keV</u> mrad	5mm/7cm→1.4 mrad	32 keV
out-of-plane angle	$\pm 5^{\circ}$	5 <u>keV</u> mrad	1.5°	133 keV
momentum	±20%	85 <u>keV</u>	5 mm/30cm \rightarrow $<$ 0.2%	17 keV

- Spectrometer can measure two quantities on first plane (position), but has additional multiple scattering for third quantity (angle)
- Simple dipole spectrometer, dispersive direction out-of-plane → out-of-plane angle is measured worst.
- Sum for two spectrometers: 194 keV, assumed 250 keV
- Have to do full simulation when realistic magnetic field is calculated.